

March 31, 2016

Walter G. Suarez, MD, MPH Chair, National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics Executive Director, Health IT Strategy & Policy, Kaiser Permanente 2221 Broadbirch Drive Silver Spring, MD 20904-1984

Dear Dr. Suarez:

As the HHS-designated operating rules authoring entity and per the Affordable Care Act (ACA) mandate, CAQH CORE has submitted to NCVHS the Phase IV Operating Rules for the claims, prior authorization, enrollment/disenrollment, and premium payment transactions. The multi-stakeholder industry, which CAQH CORE represents, spent over two and a half years using transparent, collaborative, criteria-driven and established steps to determine the focus, and then the detail, of the Phase IV Operating Rules. In doing this, the industry came to agreement on the first set of operating rules for these four standards so that the vision of electronic, cost-effective data exchange can be achieved.

At all stages of the Phase IV process, required quorums and approval rates were greatly exceeded. Every entity in Appendix I had an opportunity to vote. The CAQH CORE Participating Entities that approve the operating rules in the final step of the multi-layered voting – *those participants who must implement – overwhelmingly supported the Phase IV Operating Rules with 90% participation in the vote and 88% voting in favor. Please see Appendix I for a list of the CAQH CORE Participants. These entities are driving market change. As noted in the Appendix, they represent a very significant sector of the marketplace, including over 70% of the commercially insured. The Phase IV Operating Rules were also unanimously approved by the executive-level CAQH CORE Board, which includes representation from payer and provider organizations. Throughout the process, the industry placed significant value on ensuring that the Phase IV infrastructure was consistent with previous phases of mandated operating rules, and building up capability to improve security. As it is now six months from the date that the Phase IV Operating Rules were approved, the industry is already starting to implement Phase IV, including volunteers that will be beta testing the Phase IV Voluntary CORE Certification test site.*

CAQH CORE, on behalf of its Board, participants and many others who contributed to Phase IV, urges NCVHS to recommend to the Secretary of HHS adoption of the Phase IV Operating Rules. Time is of the essence if the nation is to bring all of the HIPAA transactions into alignment and continue the drive toward low cost, high quality and efficient data exchange.

CAQH CORE heard the concerns raised by those invited to the NCVHS Hearing on February 16, 2016, many of whom are not implementers themselves. We would ask NCVHS to consider not only the above industry process and support, but also note:

• Achievable and a Foundational Start. As communicated at the hearing, the Board, the CAQH CORE Participants and the industry at large view the Phase IV Operating Rules as a critical initial step, but far from the last step, for the transactions. Viewing Phase IV as the initial step is especially important for prior authorization; the layered complexity of this transaction in both its content and exchange calls for an iterative process by which successive milestones are achieved. The Phase IV Operating Rules lay this essential foundation by setting basic data exchange expectations that currently do not exist. Without basics like response times and time stamping, more advanced goals will be twice as hard to define and implement, and cost savings will elude the industry. Therefore, while trading partners implement this first step in the journey,

CAQH CORE, as committed by its Board, can simultaneously collaborate on agreeing to further improvements. This ongoing journey to evolve was what the ACA envisioned, and the strong support for Phase IV ensures a solid step in initiating the path forward to transparent and low cost data exchange.

- **Compatible Safe Harbor.** The concerns expressed regarding the Connectivity Rule Safe Harbor, many of which were focused on cost or flexibility, demonstrated that there are some with a significant misunderstanding concerning the meaning of Safe Harbor in the CAQH CORE Operating Rules. Safe Harbor is explicitly defined in the Phase IV Operating Rules on page 48 (and copied in Appendix II to this letter). The same concept is included in the previously mandated Phases I III Operating Rules, and has proven valuable to those exchanging transactions due to its flexibility. As applied in Phase IV, while the X.509 digital certificate must be *offered* and used if requested by a trading partner, the Operating Rules clearly state there is no requirement to use a CAQH CORE-compliant method if trading partners agree to use different security requirements, such as a virtual private network (VPN) or secure file transfer protocol (SFTP).
- Flexible by Being Payload Agnostic. Low adoption of the prior authorization, enrollment/disenrollment, and premium payment transactions was cited by some at the hearing as a reason for not adopting Phase IV at this time with a myriad of reasons, all pointing to waiting; waiting for more data content, new versions of the ASC X12 standards, mandates on attachment standards or other reasons. At the same time, the arguments for waiting did not address the fact that the Phase IV Rules can be applied to any standard or version (i.e., Phase IV is payload agnostic). As stated by one member of NCVHS at the meeting of the Full Committee, "mandating the operating rules would start the process, where if they were not mandated, there may never be a start." As a country, we need to establish basic infrastructure expectations.
- **Best Practice.** While there is virtually full agreement by those in the data exchange arena, including previous calls to action by NCVHS, that Acknowledgements are needed, some testimony again included a recommendation to wait. Some suggested waiting for Federal regulatory action adopting an Acknowledgements standard prior to the adoption of Acknowledgements in the operating rules. However, delaying adoption for this reason continues to do harm to the industry. Acknowledgements are not conducted independently, but are used only with a transaction. Therefore, they are a key part of infrastructure operating rules. CAQH CORE previously supplied a legal opinion that Acknowledgements can legally be mandated by HHS through operating rules, and would urge that NCVHS explicitly call out support for this in its recommendation to the Secretary of HHS. Every entity that is voluntarily CORE-certified on existing CAQH CORE Operating Rules must use Acknowledgements as every phase of CAQH CORE Operating Rules requires Acknowledgements. This inclusion is driving value to providers and health plans, and, thus, overall transaction adoption.
- **Enforcement.** NCVHS asked if Phase IV can be enforced, and CAQH CORE was clear that it can. CAQH CORE continues to evolve its proactive, non-profit, interactive Certification Testing Program given our commitment to driving and tracking adoption by both HIPAA and non-HIPAA covered entities. To this end, Voluntary CORE Certification for Phase IV will be available to the industry starting this summer. Enforcement activities focused on adoption of operating rules and their underlying standards is an essential component of data exchange. Without enforcement, adoption rates and compliance will continue to be challenges for the industry. CAQH CORE urges NCVHS to request that HHS recognize and act upon the critical role of enforcement for all entities.

CAQH CORE remains committed to supporting education and technical assistance for implementation of its operating rules and to their continued maintenance. Please contact Gwendolyn Lohse, who testified to NCVHS on behalf of CAQH CORE, at <u>glohse@caqh.org</u> if we can be of any further assistance.

As the Federal Advisory Committee to HHS on HIPAA, we urge you to encourage industry progress.

CAOH. CORE

Sincerely,

Jeoge Came -

George S. Conklin, CIO and SVP for Information Management CHRISTUS Health Chair, CAQH CORE Board

Lou Ursini, Head IT Program Delivery & Testing Aetna Vice Chair, CAQH CORE Board

Suman Durney

Susan L. Turney, MD, MS, FACP, FACPME, CEO Marshfield Clinic Health System

Joel Perlman, Executive Vice President Montefiore

abor MM

Barbara L. McAneny, MD, CEO New Mexico Cancer Center Immediate Past Chair, American Medical Association Board of Trustees

Thomas H- Mille

Tom Miller, SVP/CIO Anthem

Syed Day yoz

Raza Fayyaz, Director of Information Systems AultCare



Abhul c. A

John Fong, MD, MBA, Executive Medical Director Blue Cross Blue Shield North Carolina

3018.

Tim Kaja, SVP, Optum Cloud/Link United Health Group

Mitchell Icenhower, VP of Solutions Management Allscripts

Matthew Levesque, Vice President, Product Management athenahealth

cc:

Robin Thomashauer, CAQH Executive Director

Gwendolyn Lohse, CAQH CORE Managing Director, CAQH Deputy Director Shana Olshan, Director, National Standards Group, Office of Enterprise Information, CMS Dave Nelson, Director and CMS Chief Information Officer, Office of Enterprise Information, CMS Members, National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics



Appendix I: CAQH Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE) Participants

In August 2015, **90%** of CAQH CORE Participating Entities that can implement operating rules participated in the Final CAQH CORE Vote on the Phase IV Operating Rules; **88% voted to approve the complete Phase IV CAQH CORE Operating Rule Set**, including health plans representing almost 75% of commercially insured lives, plus Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. Non-implementers do not vote at the Final CAQH CORE Vote, however, they voiced support in earlier stages of voting along with the implementers, thus allowing the rules to move forward to the final vote.

<u>Government</u> (Includes health plan and provider implementers)

Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System California Department of Health Care Services Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta Florida Agency for Healthcare Administration Kansas Department of Health & Environment Louisiana Medicaid - Unisys Michigan Department of Community Health Michigan Public Health Institute Minnesota Department of Health Minnesota Department of Human Services Missouri HealthNet Division National Medicaid EDI Healthcare Work Group (NMEH) North Dakota Medicaid Oklahoma Employees Group Insurance Division **Oregon Department of Human Services** Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare TRICARE US Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) US Department of Treasury Financial **Management Services** US Department of Veterans Affairs Washington State Office of the Insurance Commissioner

Health Plans

Aetna Inc. Ameritas Anthem, Inc. AultCare Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Carolina BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield Cigna Community Health Plan of Washington **Coventry Health Care EmblemHealth Excellus Blue Cross Blue Shield** GEHA Group Health Cooperative Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Health Care Service Corporation Health Net, Inc. Highmark, Inc. Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey Humana Inc. Kaiser Permanente Medical Mutual of Ohio Palmetto GBA

Premera Blue Cross Providence Health Plan Tufts Health Plan UnitedHealth Group

Providers

Adventist HealthCare, Inc. Adventist Health System American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) American Hospital Association American Medical Association (AMA) Cedars-Sinai Health System **CHRISTUS Health Community Health Systems Confluence Health** Emory Healthcare Greater New York Hospital Association (GNYHA) Healthcare Partners Medical Group Lab Corporation of America Mayo Clinic Medical Group Management Association (MGMA) Mobility Medical, Inc. Montefiore Medical Center of New York NYU Langone Medical Center Ortho NorthEast (ONE) Sound Family Medicine Tampa General Hospital University of Maryland Faculty Physicians, Inc. **UNMC** Physicians Virginia Mason Medical Center

Vendors / Clearinghouses

Allscripts athenahealth, Inc. Automated HealthCare Solutions Availity LLC Cerner ClaimRemedi **Computer Sciences Corporation DST Health Solutions** Edifecs **Change Healthcare EMS Management & Consultants** Epic Fifth Third Bank **GE Healthcare HEALTHeNET** HMS HP Enterprise Services, LLC inMediata InstaMed Medical Electronic Attachment

MedTranDirect, Inc. NaviNet NextGen Healthcare Information Systems, Inc. **OptumInsight Passport Health Communications** PaySpan, Inc. PNC Bank Post-N-Track RealMed, an Availity Company Recondo Technology, Inc. RelayHealth The Clearing House The SSI Group, Inc. TriZetto Corporation, a Cognizant Company **TriZetto Provider Solutions** Ventanex VISA, Inc. Wipro Infocrossing Xerox ZirMed, Inc.

<u>Other</u>

Accenture Cognizant Cognosante CSG Government Solutions MEDIX Consulting LLC NASW Risk Retention Group OptumHealth Financial Services TIBCO Software, Inc.

Associations / Regional / Standard Setting Organizations

America's Health Insurance Plans (AHIP) ASC X12 Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association **Delta Dental Plans Association** Health Level 7 (HL7) Healthcare Billing and Management Association Healthcare Financial Management Association NACHA - The Electronic Payments Association National Committee for Quality Assurance National Council for Prescription Drug Programs NJ Shore (WEDI/SNIP NY Affiliate) OneHealthPort Private Sector Technology Group Utah Health Information Network Utilization Review Accreditation Commission Work Group for Electronic Data Interchange (WEDI)

Appendix II: CAQH CORE Safe Harbor



5 CAQH CORE SAFE HARBOR

This rule specifies a "Safe Harbor" that any stakeholder can be assured will be supported by any HIPAA-covered entity or its agent. This rule further specifies the connectivity method that all HIPAA-covered entities or their agents and all voluntarily CORE-certified organizations must implement and with which conformance must be demonstrated.

As such, this rule:

- DOES NOT require trading partners (e.g., a provider or a health plan) to discontinue using existing connections that do not match the rule.
- · DOES NOT require trading partners to use a CAQH CORE-compliant method for all new connections.
- · DOES NOT require all trading partners to use only one method for any connections.
- · DOES NOT require any entity to do business with any trading partner or other entity.

CAQH CORE expects that in some circumstances, trading partners may agree to use different communication method(s) and/or security requirements than those described in this rule to achieve the technical goals of the specific connection. Examples of potential different communication methods that could be implemented under this CAQH CORE Safe Harbor provision include a VPN (virtual private network) or SFTP (secure file transfer protocol.) Such connectivity gateways are not considered compliant with this Phase IV CAQH CORE 470 Connectivity Rule v4.0.0. When a HIPAA-covered entity or its agent implement a different communication method(s) as permitted by this CAQH CORE Safe Harbor all payload processing modes specified for the transactions addressed by this rule must be supported in each connectivity gateway implemented which does not comply with this Phase IV CAQH CORE 470 Connectivity Rule v4.0.0 requirements. (See §4.4.3.1)

This Phase IV CAQH CORE 470 Connectivity Rule v4.0.0 is the CAQH CORE Safe Harbor connectivity method that a HIPAA-covered entity or its agent MUST use if requested by a trading partner. If the HIPAA-covered entity or its agent do not believe that this CAQH CORE Safe Harbor is the best connectivity method for that particular trading partner, it may work with its trading partner to implement a different, mutually agreeable connectivity method. However, if the trading partner insists on using this CAQH CORE Safe Harbor, the HIPAA-covered entity or its agent must accommodate that request. This clarification is not intended in any way to modify entities' obligations to exchange electronic transactions as specified by HIPAA or other federal and state regulations.